

ENHANCED SURFACE PREPARATION PROCESS FOR APPLICATION OF CERAMIC COATINGS

5 BACKGROUND

Field of the Invention

10 This invention relates to the preparation of metallic or intermetallic surfaces, particularly bond coat surfaces for the subsequent application of ceramic coatings, particularly ceramic thermal barrier coatings for use at elevated temperatures.

Description of Related Art

U.S. patent 4,321,310 discusses polishing bond coats prior to applying ceramic thermal barrier coatings.

Summary

Metallic or intermetallic surfaces, particularly bond coat surfaces, are prepared to receive ceramic coatings using a process which includes mechanical abrasion in at least two steps wherein the second step is less aggressive than the first step. Alternately a single step may be employed in which the aggressiveness of the abrasion at the start of the step is greater than the aggressiveness of the abrasion at the end of the step. Abrasion using ceramic particles is a suitable method.

25 Other steps including diffusion heat treatments, cleaning heat treatments, and
mechanical processing such as peening may also be used in conjunction with the
progressively decreasing abrasion step.

Express Mail mailing label number
FL040275383US
Date of Deposit December 22, 2000

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figures 1 through 5 are flow charts which illustrate various embodiments of the invention.

5 Figure 6 is a photomicrograph of cross section of a cathodic arc deposited bond coat at 400X.

Figure 7 is a photomicrograph of cross section of a cathodic arc deposited bond coat at 400X after ceramic pressure peening.

10 Figure 8 is a photomicrograph of cross section of a cathodic arc deposited bond coat at 400X after ceramic pressure peening and progressively reduced grit blasting.

Description of Preferred Embodiments

15 The present invention relates to methods for preparing metallic or intermetallic surfaces, particularly bond coat surfaces, to receive a subsequent ceramic coating. The surface preparation process substantially increases the adherence of the ceramic coating to the surface and increases the life of the coating under severe conditions of elevated temperature such as those encountered in gas turbine engines.

20 Ceramic coating may be applied directly to certain metallic surfaces which form a dense adherent alumina scale, see for example U.S. patent 5,262,245. Usually however, an intermediate bond coat is applied to the surface prior to the application of the ceramic coating.

The most common type of bond coat known in the art as an MCrAlY bond coat, where M is selected from the group consisting of iron, nickel, cobalt and mixtures of nickel and cobalt. See, for example, U.S. patent 3,928,026.

MCrAlY type bond coats may be applied using electron beam vapor deposition 5 (EBPVD), cathodic arc deposition, plasma spray deposition including low pressure plasma spraying (LPPS), sputtering, and electrodeposition. While the invention process was specifically developed for use with bond coat supplied by cathodic arc deposition, it is not so limited.

An important aspect of the present invention is the use of an abrasive treatment, 10 having certain specific characteristics, to remove a portion of the surface which is to receive the ceramic coating.

It is often found, particularly with respect to some types of bond coat deposition processes such as cathodic arc, that the surface of the as-applied bond coat has defects, such as pores, fissures, etc. and is rough and irregular.

According to the present invention, a portion of the surface which is to receive the 15 ceramic coating is removed by abrasion to a depth which removes any imperfect outer portion of the surface layer which may be present. Generally speaking, a total of up to about 1.0 mil (.0010 in.) may be removed. Most commonly, for cathodic arc deposited coatings, between about .0005 and .0010 in. (.5-1.0 mil) will be removed.

We have found that using a relatively aggressive initial abrasive treatment followed 20 by at least one subsequent, less aggressive, abrasive treatment results in a substantially increased life for a subsequently applied ceramic coating. We define the degree of aggressiveness of an abrasive surface treatment in terms of the rate of surface removal,

the unit thickness removed per unit time. More aggressive abrasive treatments remove more material in a given period of time.

Thus, for example, we have used grit blasting in which alumina particles are propelled by a fluid (such as compressed air) against the surface to be abraded. The aggressiveness of the abrasive process can be controlled by controlling velocity and/or pressure of the fluid which contains and propels the abrasive media and/or the nozzle to substrate distance. In general, higher fluid pressures/velocities produce a more aggressive abrasive treatment than do lower fluid pressures/velocities as do reduced nozzle-substrate distances, assuming that other process details remain constant.

While it is, as noted above, necessary to use at least two abrasive treatments of decreasing intensity, it is preferred to use at least three abrasive treatments of decreasing intensity.

It will also be appreciated that the same result can be achieved using a single abrasive treatment step in which the degree of aggressiveness of the abrasive process is varied and decreases from the start of the step to the finish of the step. The nature of the decrease may be stepwise or continuous, or combinations thereof. Thus, for example, instead of using two or three separate grit blasting steps using progressively lower air pressure, it is possible to use a single grit blasting operation in which the air pressure decreases from the start of the step to the end of the step. It is also possible to combine constant abrasive aggressiveness with one or more steps of decreasing abrasive aggressiveness.

Oxide ceramic abrasives are preferred because any residual embedded particles will be relatively stable and innocuous. We prefer to use alumina as an abrasive material

but other abrasives, including zirconia and silica, may be used especially for the earlier abrasive treatment steps. Use of alumina for the final, least aggressive, abrasion step is highly preferred.

It has been found that using a decreasing intensity abrasive process or processes 5 produces a relatively smoother surface finish than would otherwise be obtained if an abrasive step of constant intensity were utilized.

The skilled artisan will appreciate that several factors affect the intensity or rate of abrasion of a given surface. These include abrasive particle size, abrasive particle composition, abrasive particle velocity, the angle at which the particles strike the surface, 10 and the number of particles interacting with a unit surface area in a unit time and the nozzle to substrate distance.

It is well within the skill of the art to select and vary these factors to arrive at a suitable combination to accomplish the present invention.

A variety of abrasion processes are known in the art, including:

1. Grit blasting wherein abrasive particles are propelled at a surface
 - a. by a flowing gas stream
 - b. by a flowing liquid stream
 - c. by centrifugal force imparted by a rotating disc or wheel.
2. Vibratory finishing wherein the parts to be abraded are placed in a container along with abrasive media (often with a liquid added) and the container is vibrated to cause the abrasive media to abrade the parts.

5

- 3. Barrel finishing, similar to vibratory finishing except that the container is closed and rotated about a generally horizontal axis to cause motion (tumbling) and abrasion.
- 4. Centrifugal disc finishing in which a rotating disc spins abrasive media and parts in a stationary chamber.
- 5. Centrifugal barrel finishing which uses closed chambers, containing parts and abrasive media, which are mounted on a rotating turret. The closed chambers counter rotate.
- 6. Spindle finishing in which parts are mounted on movable spindles which are then immersed in a moving bed of abrasive media.
- 7. Drag finishing in which parts are mounted on rotating fixtures which are immersed in and dragged through a bed of abrasive media.

10 All of these techniques can potentially be used in connection with the present invention, although some may remove material at such a high rate as to be impractical.

15 In practical applications, contamination of hollow parts, such as cooled turbine airfoils, with abraded debris/sludge can be a problem. This suggests that liquid media processes may be generally less suitable.

Figure 2 shows further development of the present invention in which a diffusion heat treat step is performed between the bond coating application step and the progressive 20 abrasion step. It is known in the prior art to perform diffusion heat treat steps in connection with bond coats in order to improve their adherence to the substrate by promoting interdiffusion between the bond coat and the substrate. Such a diffusion heat treatment step appears to be highly desired in the case of cathodic arc-applied bond coats which,

because they are deposited at relatively low temperatures and have relatively low adherence in the as-applied state. A diffusion heat treatment is not required if the ceramic coating is to be applied directly to a substrate without a bond coat.

A typical diffusion heat treatment step is performed at a temperature of 1975°F for 5 a period of about four hours. Temperatures between about 1800°F and about 2100°F may be employed for times ranging from about one-half hour up to about 20 hours.

Figure 3 shows another step which is added to the step shown in Figure 2 which comprises an ultrasonic cleaning step performed after the progressive abrasion step. It has been found that the abrasive operation produces fine particles of the abrasive material and the abraded material which are difficult to remove from the surface using cleaning methods such as air blasting. Ultrasonic cleaning using an aqueous solution has been used. Pure water has been used but it is possible to employ wetting agents, which may enhance the cleaning effectiveness, but care must be taken to ensure that any ultrasonic cleaning solution residue does not interfere with adherence of the ceramic layer to be deposited.

Figure 4 shows another step added to the steps in Figure 3 which consists of a peening operation which is performed either immediately after the diffusion heat treatment and before the progressive abrasion step, or alternately after the first abrasion step.

Peening is used to densify bond coats by closing internal voids and other defects. We 20 prefer to use ceramic pressure peening. Ceramic pressure peening is similar in some ways to grit blasting. A pressure fed type grit blasting machine propels ceramic particles at the surface to be peened. Smooth rounded ceramic particles are used and minimal

surface removal occurs. We have used a commercially available material known as Zirshot, a product of SEPR of Paris, France, a unit of the St. Gobain Corporation, the media is available from SEPR of Mountainside, N.J. We use round particles having an average diameter of 0.046 in. Zirshot is an alloy of zirconia and silica.

5 We prefer to peen to an intensity as measured by the Almen test strip method, of from about 13-17 N, more specifically 14-16 N. Ceramic pressure peening is preferred because it has been found not to damage delicate parts to the extent that some other peening methods do, however generally speaking any peening method may be used which provides the required Almen intensity without damaging the part or contaminating the part surface.

10 Figure 5 shows another step added to the step shown in Figure 4, a heat treatment performed after any peening operation but prior to ultrasonic cleaning. This heat treatment is used to remove any organic residue or contamination such as that left by fingerprints or oil vapors in the ambient atmosphere. A heat treatment at about 1300°F for about one-half hour, but temperatures between about 1000°F and 1500°F for times between about one-half hour and 4 hours are appropriate.

15 We prefer that all part handling after the organic heat treatment step is performed by operators wearing fabric or plastic gloves to eliminate the possibility of subsequent organic contamination.

20 After the surface is prepared, the ceramic coating can be applied by EBPVD, sputtering, or thermal spray techniques.

Example 1

Twelve gas turbine blades having a nominal composition of (by wt.) 5% Cr, 10% Co, 2% Mo, 6% W, 3.1% Re, 5.6% Al, 9% Ta, .1% Hf, bal essentially Ni, were coated with an MCrAlY having a nominal composition of (by wt.) 22% Co, 17% Cr, 12.5% Al, 0.25% Hf, 0.4% Si, 0.6% Y, bal essentially Ni, using a cathodic arc coating process. The nominal 5 coating thickness was 4 mil.

Figure 6 shows a cross sectional photo micrograph (at 400X) of the as applied coating. The rough surface is readily visible, and had a measured roughness of about 195 microinches R.A..

The as coated blades were treated by ceramic pressure peening, using ceramic 10 beads Zirshot, 0.046 in dia., applied using a pressure feed peening apparatus operated at a constant air pressure of 30 psi for 5 minutes. Figure 7 is a photomicrograph showing the surface after ceramic pressure peening. A significant improvement in surface condition is visible, the measured surface roughness after peening was about 135 microinches R.A..

The pressure peened parts then had a columnar ceramic coating applied by 15 EBPVD. The ceramic coating composition was zirconia stabilized with 7 wt % yttria. The ceramic coating thickness was about 5 mil.

The ceramic coated parts were tested in a cyclic thermal (at 2100°F) test simulating engine operation.

The average time to coating failure (defined as about 50% spallation of the ceramic 20 coating) was determined.

Example 2

Six turbine blades of the same composition as those described in Example 1. The six blades were coated with the same MCrAlY composition to the same thickness using the same cathodic apparatus.

Ceramic pressure peening was performed according to the present invention using 5 the same pressure peening apparatus and peening media as that used in Example 1.

The six parts were then grit blasted as follows: two minutes at 75 psi, 2 minutes at 55 psi and 1 minute at 35 psi using 240 mesh aluminum oxide grit. After this progressively reduced aggressiveness processing, the measured surface roughness was 69 microinches R.A..

After grit blasting at progressively reduced intensity, the parts were coated with the same EBPVD applied ceramic coating as used in Example 1 to the same thickness. Figure 8 shows a cross section with the ceramic coating applied.

The six parts were tested using the same 2100°F cyclic test as used in Example 1.

The sample processed according to the present invention displayed an 87% 15 improvement in cyclic life.